Objection to the Issuance of Solid Waste Permit Renewal Richmond Sanitary District

James & Patricia Butcher, Petitioners; Richmond Sanitary District, Permittee/Respondent; Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Respondent 2006 OEA 12 (05-S-J-3611)

OFFICIAL SHORT CITATION NAME: When referring to 2006 OEA 12, cite this case as *Richmond Sanitary District SWPR*, **2006 OEA 12.**

TOPICS:

land application biosolids bedrock total coliforms fecal coliforms burden of proof

PRESIDING JUDGE:

Gibbs

PARTY REPRESENTATIVES:

Petitioners: James & Patricia Butcher, pro se

Permittee/Respondent: Kenyatta Cox IDEM: Kathleen Mills

ORDER ISSUED:

July 12, 2006

INDEX CATEGORY:

Water

FURTHER CASE ACTIVITY:

[none]

James & Patricia Butcher, Petitioners; Richmond Sanitary District, Permittee/Respondent; Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Respondent 2006 OEA 12 (05-S-J-3611)

| STATE OF INDIANA) | BEFORE THE INDIANA OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ADJUDICATION |
|---|---|
| COUNTY OF MARION) | Environment in Endicate in in |
| IN THE MATTER OF: |) |
| OBJECTION TO THE ISSUANCE OF SOLID WASTE PERMIT RENEWAL |)) |
| RICHMOND SANITARY DISTRICT |) CAUSE NO. 05-S-J-3611 |
| James & Patricia Butcher Petitioners |))) |
| Richmond Sanitary District Permittee/Respondent |))) |
| Indiana Department of Environmental Management |))) |
| Respondent |) |

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL ORDER

This constitutes notice of a Final Order. This matter having come before the Court on the final hearing of the petition for administrative review by James Butcher, held on June 21, 2006; and the Environmental Law Judge, being duly advised and having considered the pleadings and evidence presented at the hearing, makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and Order:

Findings of Fact

- 1. On September 19, 2005, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (the "IDEM") issued Hybrid Biosolids Land Application Permit Renewal No. IN LA 000111 (the "Permit") to the Richmond Sanitary District (the "Permittee"). This Permit allows the Permittee to land apply to certain properties, including, but not limited to, those properties identified as FAW24AB29 (F & A Webster Farms, Inc.) and FAW 23A17 (F & A Webster Farms, Inc.) (the "Properties") on Respondent's Exhibit A.
- 2. On October 7, 2005, James and Patricia Butcher filed objections to the Permit, objecting to the application of biosolids to the Properties. The Butchers contend that the application of biosolids to these Properties leads to the contamination of their drinking water well. The Butchers live at 4205 N. Abington Road, Brownsville, Indiana. The Properties are located across the street from the Butchers' property. The Butchers' property is also located next to the Whitewater River.

James & Patricia Butcher, Petitioners; Richmond Sanitary District, Permittee/Respondent; Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Respondent 2006 OEA 12 (05-S-J-3611)

- 3. Mr. James Butcher testified that on numerous occasions, he has taken samples of his drinking water and has the sample analyzed for total coliform (TC) and for fecal coliform (FC).
- 4. Respondent's Exhibit C shows that on two occasions July 19, 2001 and August 9, 2001-the samples showed contamination above detection limits for both TC and FC. Contamination above safe drinking water limits for fecal coliform occurred on one of these occasions, July 19, 2001. On four other occasions June 28, 2001, November 1, 2001, May 23, 2002 and August 28, 2003 the samples showed contamination of TC at above detection limits, but fecal coliform was not detected.
- 5. On the one occasion that fecal coliform was above safe drinking water levels, on July 19, 2001, the Whitewater River had flooded the Petitioner's property.
- 6. Total coliform includes most organic matter, including, soil, grass, human and animal waste. Fecal coliform result from human and animal waste.
- 7. If the application of the biosolids were the source of the contamination to Mr. Butcher's well, fecal coliform would also be present in the samples.
- 8. The IDEM inspected the land application activities at the Properties in August 2003 and noted no violations of the Permit in effect at that time.
- 9. There is a minimum of twenty (20) inches of soil above the bedrock at these Properties.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The Office of Environmental Adjudication ("OEA") has jurisdiction over the decisions of the Commissioner of the IDEM and the parties to the controversy pursuant to IC 4-21.5-7-3.
- 2. This office must apply a *de novo* standard of review to this proceeding when determining the facts at issue. *Indiana Dept. of Natural Resources v. United Refuse Co., Inc.*, 615 N.E.2d 100 (Ind. 1993). Findings of fact must be based exclusively on the evidence presented to the ELJ, and deference to the agency's initial factual determination is not allowed. *Id.*; I.C. 4-21.5-3-27(d). "*De novo* review" means that:

all are to be determined anew, based solely upon the evidence adduced at that hearing and independent of any previous findings.

Grisell v. Consol. City of Indianapolis, 425 N.E.2d 247 (Ind.Ct.App. 1981).

3. Pursuant to IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-14 and IND. CODE § 4-21.5-3-27(d), the person seeking review of a permit has the burden of presenting substantial and reliable evidence proving that the IDEM improperly issued the permit in question.

Objection to the Issuance of Solid Waste Permit Renewal Richmond Sanitary District

James & Patricia Butcher, Petitioners; Richmond Sanitary District, Permittee/Respondent; Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Respondent 2006 OEA 12 (05-S-J-3611)

- 4. The Butchers have failed to meet this burden. They did not present sufficient evidence to show that the source of contamination in their drinking water well was the land application of biosolids to the Properties. The presence of total coliform, in and of itself, is not sufficient to show that that biosolids were the source of the contamination. The absence of fecal coliform in all but one sample is evidence that contradicts the Butchers' contentions that the biosolids are the source of the contamination. The one sample that showed the presence of fecal coliform was after the nearby river flooded. The fecal coliform on that occasion could have been from any number of sources, including the Butchers' own septic system.
- 5. The Butchers also failed to present substantial evidence that there was less than twenty (20) inches of soil above the bedrock at the Properties.

Final Order

AND THE COURT, being duly advised, hereby **ORDERS**, **JUDGES AND DECREES** that the Petitioner has not met its burden of proof in this matter. The Permit was properly issued. The Petitioner's objections to the issuance of the Permit are dismissed.

You are hereby further notified that pursuant to provisions of IND. CODE § 4-21.5-7.5, the Office of Environmental Adjudication serves as the Ultimate Authority in the administrative review of decisions of the Commissioner of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. This is a Final Order subject to Judicial Review consistent with applicable provisions of IC 4-21.5. Pursuant to IC 4-21.5-5-5, a Petition for Judicial Review of this Final Order is timely only if it is filed with a civil court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) days after the date this notice is served.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 12th day of July, 2006.

Catherine Gibbs Environmental Law Judge